

	MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE POLICY AND PROCEDURES	
	Subject EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM	Policy Number GH-5
		Effective Date 12-12-24
Related Information CP-2, <i>Code of Conduct</i> EB-1, <i>Traffic Enforcement, Violator Contacts, and Citation Issuance</i> EB-2, <i>Traffic Stop Data Collection</i> GB-2, <i>Command Responsibility</i> GC-1, <i>Leaves and Absences</i> GC-13, <i>Awards</i> GC-16, <i>Employee Grievance Procedures</i> GC-17, <i>Employee Disciplinary Procedures</i> GG-1, <i>Peace Officer Training Administration</i> GG-2, <i>Detention/Civilian Training Administration</i> GH-2, <i>Internal Investigations</i> GH-4, <i>Bureau of Internal Oversight Audits and Inspections</i>	Supersedes GH-5 (03-05-24)	

PURPOSE

The purpose of this Office Policy is to provide guidelines and procedures for an Early Identification System (EIS) which is designed to identify Office operating procedures that may need reevaluation and to assist supervisors with consistently evaluating employees, conducting performance evaluations, identifying outstanding employee performance, identifying those whose performance warrants further review, intervention, and when appropriate, a referral to the Professional Standards Bureau (PSB) for alleged misconduct.

Although this Office Policy refers to employees throughout, this Office Policy also applies with equal force to all volunteers. Volunteers include, but are not limited to, reserve deputies and posse members.

POLICY

It is the policy of the Office to use data from the EIS to support effective supervision, evaluation, and management of employees in order to promote lawful, ethical, and professional law enforcement practices; to identify behavior that represents a risk to the employee, community or the Office; and to evaluate Office operating procedures.

DEFINITIONS

Action Plan: An approved written plan documented in the EIS consisting of a series of specific tasks and performance goals for the purpose of improving an employee's work performance and preventing negative work performance from developing into misconduct. The effectiveness of an action plan will be assessed by monitoring employee performance for a designated timeframe, discussing progress with the employee and documenting progression in the EIS.

Alert: A notification generated by the EIS that initiates a review of employee performance and/or conduct or of an Office operating procedure.

Author: The MCSO employee who creates an initial entry into the EIS.

Bias-Based Profiling: The selection of an individual for law enforcement contact or action based to any degree on an actual or perceived trait common to a group, including age, nationality/national origin, immigration status,

religious beliefs/religion, race, color, gender, culture/cultural group, sexual orientation, gender identity/expression, veteran status, ancestry, physical/intellectual/mental health disability, ethnic background, socioeconomic status, or any other identifiable group characteristic, except as part of a reliable and specific suspect description. Selection for law enforcement contact or action includes selection for a stop, detention, search, issuance of citation, or arrest. Such bias-based profiling and/or discriminatory policing is prohibited even when a deputy otherwise has reasonable suspicion or probable cause justifying the law enforcement contact or action. The establishment of reasonable suspicion and/or probable cause must remain neutral as to race and the other characteristics listed above.

Blue Team: The EIS application that allows employees and supervisors to record information in a database regarding incidents, performance, and conduct. The information from Blue Team is transferred to the IAPro Early Identification case management system.

Boilerplate: Language that is stock, formulaic, unoriginal, appears repeatedly in different reports, and fails to attest to the unique facts of an incident.

Conclusory: An assertion for which no supporting evidence is offered.

Disparities: Lack of similarity or equality, inequality, difference.

Early Identification System (EIS): A system of electronic databases that captures and stores threshold events to help support and improve employee performance through early intervention and/or to identify problematic operating procedures, improving employee performance, identifying detrimental behavior, recognizing outstanding accomplishments, and to improve the Office's supervisory response. The computerized relational database shall collect, maintain, integrate, and retrieve information gathered in order to highlight tendencies in performance, complaints, and other activities. The database allows the Office to document appropriate identifying information for involved employees, (and members of the public when applicable), and the actions taken to address the tendencies identified. Blue Team, IAPro, and EIPro are applications of the EIS.

Early Intervention Unit (EIU): The EIU is part of the Bureau of Internal Oversight. The EIU is responsible for the implementation, maintenance, and operation of the EIS and for providing training and assistance to the EIS users. The unit conducts data analysis, data input, review of activities exceeding thresholds to address potentially problematic conduct, operating procedures, and recognizes positive attributes by reviewing employee awards. The Office shall ensure there is sufficient personnel to facilitate EIS input and training.

EI Dashboard: A Blue Team database tool that provides a visual overview of an employee's status in the EIS and is used to monitor alerts within the system. The EI Dashboard indicates the number of the identified incidents for tracked threshold events. The color yellow indicates the employee is one incident away from reaching a threshold. The color red indicates the employee has reached or surpassed a threshold.

EIS Allegation: A descriptor contained within EIS entries created to allow the user to better shape or tailor an entry and provide greater detail about the entry. EIS Allegations may or may not reflect a negative connotation or problematic conduct. Allegations are not always required for each incident type, but when required the descriptor must correlate with the Incident type. Each incident type can have a number of allegations, but allegations cannot exist without incident types. Allegations are a way to be more specific about the meaning of an Incident type or an Alert.

Employee: A person currently employed by the Office in a classified, unclassified, contract, or temporary status.

IAPro: A case management system used by the EIU, the Professional Standards Bureau (PSB), and the Administrative Services Division that tracks and analyzes information, including but not limited to, complaints, commendations, use of force incidents, pursuits, discipline, supervisor notes, and internal investigations. IAPro is used by PSB for the periodic assessment of timelines of investigations and for monitoring the caseloads of internal affairs investigators. IAPro is also

used to track, as a separate complaint category, allegations of biased policing and unlawful investigatory stops, searches, seizures, or arrests.

Incident Type: The label given to an EIS entry at the time the entry is generated. The EIS utilizes the entry labels to identify, categorize, track, and sort incidents within the EIS. A complete list of the current utilized incident types with definitions are listed in Attachment A.

Intervention: An approved specified action taken by a supervisor to improve a situation or prevent a potential negative work performance situation from developing into misconduct.

Misconduct: Includes any violation of Office policy or procedure, federal, state, or local criminal or civil law, constitutional violations, whether criminal or civil, administrative rules including, but not limited to, the Maricopa County Merit System Rules, or Office regulations.

Criminal Misconduct: Misconduct by an employee that a reasonable and trained supervisor or internal affairs investigator would conclude could result in criminal charges due to the apparent circumstances of the misconduct.

Minor Misconduct: Misconduct that, if sustained, would result in discipline or corrective action less severe than a suspension.

Minor misconduct, while a violation of Office Policy, can often be addressed with supervisor initiated intervention intended to improve a situation, or prevent a potential negative work performance situation from progressing into a misconduct investigation. To address these employee behaviors, supervisors may initiate an intervention method, as specified in this Office Policy, to include; squad briefing; meeting with supervisor; employee services; supervisor ride-along/work along; training; supervisor evaluation period; action plan; meeting with the commander; re-assignment; and coaching. The use of intervention shall only be used to address employee minor misconduct or behavior that does not, per the Office Disciplinary Matrix, exceed a Category 1, First or Second Offense or a Category 2, First Offense, and which has not been received by the Office as an External Complaint, or has not already been assigned to the PSB.

Serious Misconduct: Misconduct that, if sustained, would result in discipline of a suspension, demotion, or dismissal.

Pattern: A recurring characteristic that helps in the identification of any positive attributes or problem. It might also act as an indicator of how that problem might behave in the future.

Peer Group: An organizational subgroup with a common work environment and common duties.

Purview Inbox: A function within the Blue Team Application that allows command staff to view, access, monitor, and reassign incidents assigned within Blue Team to anyone in their respective division and for supervisors to view their subordinate's pending incidents.

Threshold: The point at which a sufficient number of incidents have occurred to alert the EIU of conduct or performance which could be categorized as a positive attribute, problematic behavior, or require a review of an Office operating procedure.

Traffic Stop: A temporary detention, commonly called being pulled over by a law enforcement officer, usually initiated by activating the lights and or sirens of a law enforcement vehicle, to alert a driver to merge off to the side of the road, to investigate a traffic violation.

Traffic Stop Analysis Unit (TSAU): The TSAU is part of the Court Implementation Division. The TSAU is responsible for implementation, support, and maintenance of the Traffic Stop Analysis program. The unit conducts

data analysis specific to traffic stop data. The unit conducts data analysis, data input, and review of activities identified by analysis of traffic stop data to address potentially problematic conduct or operating procedures.

Traffic Stop Monthly Report (TSMR) Alert: An analysis/data driven indication that an employee's traffic stop behavior, when compared to that of their peers, shows disparities in traffic stop outcomes by race or ethnicity and serves as a warning sign or indicia of possible racial profiling or other misconduct. If necessary, an intervention shall be initiated.

Volunteer: A person who performs hours of service for civic, charitable, or humanitarian reasons, without promise, expectation, or receipt of compensation for services rendered. An employee may not volunteer to perform the same, similar, or related duties for the Office that the employee is normally paid to perform.

PROCEDURE

1. **Blue Team:** Blue Team allows employees and supervisors to record information regarding incidents, performance, and conduct in a centralized location. The information entered into Blue Team is used in the Early Identification System (EIS). The Early Intervention Unit (EIU) shall use Blue Team to communicate with command staff, supervisors, and employees regarding EIS information.
 - A. Blue Team utilizes pick-list values (i.e., drop down selections) to ensure the consistency of the data entered.
 - B. Information entered into Blue Team can be routed electronically with review and approval functions at each step. The electronic routing of the information is dependent upon the type of entry made.
 - C. The employee shall receive an auto generated e-mail message in their County e-mail box informing them of any EIS incidents that have been forwarded to them for action, review, approval, or correction. The message shall instruct the employee to log into Blue Team to take the appropriate action.
 - D. Upon logging into Blue Team, the employee shall be notified of any EIS incidents and/or pending routings that require their action, review, approval, or correction.
2. **EIPro:** EIPro allows employees, supervisors, and command staff to review entries which have been previously entered into the EIS, including incident details, supervisor notes, alerts, and incident outcomes.
3. **EIS Incident Type Entries:** All employees are responsible for the timely, accurate, and complete entry of data in the EIS.
 - A. Entries shall be entered prior to the end of the shift upon the discovery or report of the incident, unless the specific policy governing the incident type dictates otherwise.
 - B. A complete list of the incident types utilized to categorize entry information in the EIS is located in Attachment A.
 - C. The involved employee(s) shall be linked to the EIS entry, and when appropriate, the associated allegation(s) shall be linked with the corresponding employee(s).
 - D. Attachment A provides a definition of the incident type categories, the person(s) responsible for the entry, and the available allegation(s) for each incident type entry.
4. **Supervisory EIS Alert Notification and Intervention:** An alert for supervisory review shall be generated by the EIS after verification of the employee meeting or exceeding, an established threshold.

- A. There are five categories of alerts generated by the EIS:
 - 1. Allegation Alert: An alert is generated when the frequency of an allegation reaches the set threshold.
 - 2. Incident Type Alert: An alert is generated when the frequency of an incident type reaches the set threshold.
 - 3. Monitored Status Alert: An alert is generated when a tracked behavior is entered while an employee is in monitored status. Monitored status is used to track employees on administrative leave.
 - 4. Overall Alert: An alert is generated when the overall frequency of multiple incident types reaches the set threshold.
 - 5. Supervisory Alert: An alert is generated when the frequency of the tracked actions of the employees supervised by the same person reach the set threshold.
- B. Supervisors may also initiate the EIS alert process on subordinate personnel prior to the employee meeting or exceeding an established threshold to address employee performance and/or conduct.
 - 1. To initiate the process, the supervisor shall utilize the Incident Type of Discretionary Alert in Blue Team.
 - 2. The entry shall include a written justification for the alert and be submitted through the supervisor's chain of command to the EIU.
 - 3. If approved by the chain of command, the EIS will generate an alert and the alert process will commence.
 - 4. If not approved by the chain of command, the reason for the non-approval will be documented within the entry by the non-approving entity, and it will be forwarded to the EIU with a carbon copy sent in Blue Team to the initiating supervisor.
 - 5. Non-approved Discretionary Alerts will be stored within the employee's EIS purview.
- C. Established thresholds are viewable within EIS by accessing the EI Dashboard.
 - 1. Thresholds are calculated within the EIS on a specified rolling time period.
 - 2. While specified thresholds are established to assist supervisors with identifying patterns of conduct, thresholds do not substitute for continual proactive supervision.
 - 3. Supervisors shall not wait for an alert to be received prior to taking corrective action for observed patterns, practices, or activities in violation of Office Policy.
- 5. **EIS Alert Process:** When an EIS alert is generated, the EIU shall send the alert to the immediate supervisor of the identified employee through Blue Team. The next level supervisor shall also be advised of the alert assignment by the EIU through a carbon copy of the alert in Blue Team. Command staff can view all open alert incidents assigned to their respective division through the Blue Team Incident Management Dashboard.
 - A. The immediate supervisor shall log into Blue Team and review the alert information. The review

- shall include the alert notification, type of alert, attached documentation, entries that generated the alert, and any other relevant resources to assess the alert and identify an appropriate level of intervention, the initiation of the disciplinary process, or acknowledgement of commendable behavior.
- B. The supervisor shall analyze and assess available data/information to look for behaviors, issues, concerns, or policy violations on an individual-level, unit-level, or systemic level warranting attention.
 - C. The scope of the review must be thorough enough to identify factual circumstances surrounding the initiation, progression, and conclusion of the generating incident(s) and to enable the supervisor to identify potential issues.
 - D. The supervisor shall review all incident reports, supplemental reports, and body-worn camera footage for each underlying incident, if such information exists.
 - E. If the generating incident(s) have already gone through a separate administrative review, such as a use of force committee review, the supervisor should be aware of the outcome of such review and should verify that the employee has followed through with any training recommendations or requirements or is scheduled to do so.
 - F. The exact method of an intervention shall depend on the nature of the alert, the circumstances revolving around the activity that generated the alert, and the information specified in the alert Blue Team entry.
 - G. The supervisor shall take into consideration all relevant factors when determining the appropriate level of intervention, including, but not limited to the following:
 - 1. The current EIS information of the employee;
 - 2. Past EIS alerts and their dispositions;
 - 3. Past work performance of the employee;
 - 4. Current work performance of the employee;
 - 5. Changes in the work performance of the employee;
 - 6. Law enforcement off-duty/extra-duty or secondary employment of the employee;
 - 7. Known personal circumstances of the employee;
 - 8. The overall training/experience of the employee;
 - 9. The exact nature of the activities that generated the alert;
 - 10. The nature of the employee's assignment;
 - 11. The work performance of the employee when compared to a peer group across the same squad, shift, beat, district, or entire organization; and
 - 12. The results of any discussion or meeting with the employee regarding the alert incident.

- H. Depending upon the conduct that initiated the alert, an administrative investigation may be warranted, as specified in Office Policy GH-2, *Internal Investigations*, and/or discipline may be warranted, as specified in Office Policy GC-17, *Employee Disciplinary Procedures*. The supervisor shall utilize the Internal Complaint process to initiate the administrative investigation process, if warranted.
- I. Based upon the supervisory assessment, the supervisor shall identify an approved intervention in response to the alert.
- J. The approved intervention shall begin within 14 calendar days of receipt of the alert.
- K. The supervisor shall select at least one of the following approved interventions:
 - 1. No Further Action: Selected when, after the supervisory assessment, no pattern of at-risk behavior was identified, or appropriate corrective action was taken prior to the activation of the alert. This selection is also appropriate if the alert was generated by an entry error.
 - 2. Commendation: Selected when, after the supervisory assessment, the behavior is deemed worthy of department recognition. The commendation shall be submitted in accordance with Office Policy GC-13, *Awards*.
 - 3. Meeting with Supervisor: Selected when the supervisory assessment determines that the employee needs a formal meeting with the supervisor to discuss the circumstances of the alert that does not result in any further action.
 - 4. Employee Services: Selected when, after the supervisory assessment, the supervisor identifies that the employee may benefit, or the development of a negative performance issue may be prevented, from available voluntary employee services for a personal matter. The supervisor shall provide the employee with resource information and document the information provided. Any additional information regarding the employee's personal matter or the employee's utilization or refusal to use such services shall be considered confidential and not documented within the EIS.
 - 5. Supervisor Ride-Along/Work-Along: Selected when, after the supervisory assessment, the supervisor physically observes the subordinate perform daily activities and provides encouragement, instruction, and documents conformance to policy and procedures.
 - 6. Squad Briefing: Selected when the supervisory assessment identifies the need to address performance issues related to an alert to act upon or prevent performance issues at the unit level.
 - 7. Training: Selected when the supervisory assessment identifies a need for training. The supervisor shall make a referral to the training division for training as defined in Office Policies GG-1, *Peace Officer Training Administration*, and GG-2, *Detention/Civilian Training Administration*.
 - 8. Supervisory Evaluation Period: Selected when the supervisory assessment determines that the employee needs mentoring and a dedicated monitoring period by the supervisor. The supervisor shall identify an appropriate review period of 30, 60, or 90 days. Upon conclusion of the review period, the EIU will send a request to the supervisor in Blue Team and require a documented final assessment of the review period.
 - 9. Action Plan: Selected when the supervisory assessment identifies the need to address an

on-going work performance issue related to an alert, or if other intervention methods have been unsuccessful. The action plan shall identify a work performance goal, clarify what resources are required to reach the goal, and formulate a timeline for when specific tasks need to be completed. The tasks will be built into the Action Plan by EIU to allow the supervisor to track the progress and completion of the action plan. An Action Plan cannot be implemented until the supervisor receives the Blue Team entry from EIU personnel.

- a. The EIU will assist in the creation of the initial entry and maintain oversight throughout the duration of the action plan process.
 - b. Before selection of this intervention, the employee's supervisor shall be in communication with EIU staff for ease of implementation, and to prevent unnecessary delays in this intervention process.
10. Meeting with the Commander: Selected when the supervisory assessment determines that the employee needs a formal meeting with a command officer of the employee holding the rank of lieutenant or above, or the civilian equivalent.
 11. Coaching: May be selected when, after the supervisory assessment, specific instances of negative work performance have been identified as not exceeding a Category 1 or Category 2 of the Attachment B, of Office Policy GC-17, *Employee Disciplinary Procedures*; and which has not been received by the Office as an External Complaint, or has not already been assigned to the PSB; and the need for immediate improvement is necessary to avoid the situation from developing into misconduct. Supervisors shall ensure that when considering a Coaching as an intervention, the employee has not exceeded the number of allowable Coachings, as specified in Office Policy GC-17, *Employee Disciplinary Procedures* for one year prior to the current offense. Previous disciplinary history shall also be reviewed and considered when selecting this intervention. Employee conduct outside the limitations of this section shall be addressed, as specified in Office Policy GH-2, *Internal Investigations*. Coaching shall be documented with the Incident Type of Coaching in Blue Team and the specific policy or policies involved in the performance issue linked to the employee.
 12. Re-assignment: Selected when the supervisory assessment determines that the employee should be removed from their present assignment, shift, or work location, and placed into a different duty assignment, shift, or work location.
 13. Referral to the PSB: Selected when, after the supervisory assessment, the conduct that initiated the alert warrants an administrative investigation. The supervisor shall utilize the Internal Complaint process in accordance with Office Policy GH-2, *Internal Investigations*, to initiate the administrative investigation process.
- L. Alerts shall not be cleared/closed by reference to the above listed intervention strategies alone. Supervisors shall specify why the intervention fits the circumstances and provide sufficient information to support and understand the alert closure.
 - M. The supervisor shall document in detail the supervisory review conducted, the intervention implemented, any discussion with the employee, action(s) taken, and the conclusions rendered. This shall be documented on the *Early Identification System Alert Response Form* (Attachment B) and routed through Blue Team.
 - N. The supervisor shall complete and attach the Attachment B to the alert report in Blue Team. A blank copy of the form will be attached to every alert assigned to a supervisor for disposition. A

copy of the *Early Identification System Alert Response Form* is attached to this Office Policy.

- O. Completed alerts shall be submitted in Blue Team through the chain of command to the Division Commander. At every level of review each supervisor shall document in detail the supervisory review conducted, conclusions rendered, action(s) taken, and their approval of the alert response. Deficient entries shall be returned to subordinate personnel for corrections and the deficiency documented within the entry. Once reviewed/approved by the Division Commander, the alert shall be sent to the EIU.
- P. Alerts assigned to an employee with the rank of Division Commander, or above, shall require the review/approval of the next level command officer.
- Q. Supervisors shall make a good faith effort to complete an approved intervention within 14 calendar days of assignment of an EIS Alert, and division commanders shall make a good faith effort to complete a review of the supervisor's response within 30 calendar days of the assignment of the EIS Alert.
- R. Exceptions to the completion of an EIS Alert within the established timeframe include the employee of an EIS Alert being on an approved leave, as specified in Office Policy GC-1, Leaves and Absences. The intervention shall be completed within 14 calendar days of the employee returning from approved leave.
 - 1. If the completion of an EIS Alert will exceed the 14 calendar day intervention timeframe or the 30 calendar day command review timeframe, the supervisor assigned to complete the Alert, or their chain of command, shall request an extension by submitting a *Request for Alert Extension* memorandum to the division commander. The *Request for Alert Extension* memorandum shall contain the reason for the extension request. As specified above, exceptions to the completion of an EIS Alert within the established timeframe may include, but are not limited to, an employee being on approved leave; or additional time is needed to complete the EIS alert.
 - 2. The *Request for Alert Extension* memorandum shall be reviewed and approved by the division commander or designee. If the extension is requested by the division commander, the commander shall submit the request to the EIU commander without further approval.
 - 3. All approved memorandum requests for extensions shall be submitted by email to the EIU commander prior to the expiration of the original established timeframe. The email shall be copied to the next level in the chain of command for notification when submitted.
 - 4. The EIU commander will review the *Request for Alert Extension* memorandum and make the final determination if the request is approved or denied. The supervisor requesting the extension, and their chain of command, to include the division commander, shall be notified through email of the status of the extension request. If approved, the notification will also include a new submission due date 30 calendar days beyond the original due date. If the employee's approved leave and projected return date exceeds the 30 calendar days, the supervisor requesting the extension shall request the date to be no more than two weeks beyond the date the employee is expected to return.
 - 5. The EIU Commander or designee shall verify the new submission due date for each approved extension, which shall be entered in IAPro by the EIU, to ensure effective case management.
 - 6. The *Request for Alert Extension* memorandum shall be retained in the EIS.

- S. Throughout the intervention process, the supervisor shall continue to maintain a written record of each of their employee's performance within the Blue Team Supervisor Notes.
 - T. Refusing to participate in an intervention shall result in disciplinary action, as specified in Office Policy GC-17, *Employee Disciplinary Procedures*.
 - U. The intervention documentation shall make no reference to protected leave or any information related to protective leave.
6. **Traffic Stop Monthly Report Alert Process:** A Traffic Stop Monthly Report (TSMR) Alert requiring supervisory review and action shall be generated by the Traffic Stop Analysis Unit (TSAU) after they determine through their TSMR review process an intervention is required to address a deputy's traffic stop activities and/or interactions with drivers or passengers. When the TSAU determines an intervention is necessary there are three TSMR Alert intervention processes used. A TSMR Alert is designated as either a **Full Intervention**, an **Intermediate Intervention**, or a **Memorandum**; based on the review findings. A supervisor receiving a TSMR Alert notification requiring a full intervention is required to complete the Attachment C of this Office Policy. A Supervisory Guide shall also be provided to the supervisor for completing the Attachment C when receiving full intervention alert notifications.
- A. **Full Intervention:** Statistical flag reviewed by the TSAU confirmed disparate outcomes with one or more of the following: no explanation for disparate outcomes identified; no explanation for disparate outcomes; a pattern of possible bias actions or behaviors; and/or disparate treatment, actions, or words. The Attachment C shall be submitted as an attachment to the Blue Team TSMR Alert entry, once an appropriate intervention response has been identified, approved by TSAU, and initiated. After reviewing all material, the direct supervisor, in consultation with the TSAU and the division chain of command, including the division commander, will determine the appropriate interventions. The Attachment C form shall be returned to TSAU within 60 calendar days of the TSMR Alert being assigned to the supervisor. Further processes for addressing a TSMR Alert for a full intervention are as follows:
 - 1. **Pre-Orientation Meeting:** A pre-orientation meeting shall be held between the TSAU Liaison, and the immediate supervisor of the deputy identified in the review. This meeting is scheduled by the TSAU Liaison. During this meeting, the immediate supervisor will be provided with the TSMR Pre-Orientation Training. Upon completion of this meeting the supervisor will provide a TSMR Summary to the deputy.
 - 2. **Deputy Orientation Scheduling:** The TSAU is responsible for scheduling the deputy orientation upon completion of the TSMR review.
 - a. If either the TSAU or the division commander determine the deputy's immediate supervisor should not conduct the alert intervention process for any reason, the commander shall identify another supervisor within the division to complete the intervention process.
 - b. The reason for assigning the TSMR Alert to another supervisor shall be documented by the TSAU in the summary section of the TSMR Alert in IAPro.
 - 3. **Deputy Orientation:** A deputy orientation is conducted through a meeting among TSAU personnel, the deputy, and the supervisor. The meeting shall occur at least 2 calendar days after the pre-orientation meeting. The division commander may attend the orientation at their discretion.
 - a. The orientation meeting shall be audio recorded by a TSAU supervisor using an Office-issued recorder.

- b. A summary of the meeting shall be documented by the TSAU Liaison in the orientation meeting summary document, and the audio recording shall be uploaded into IAPro and attached to the assigned Alert where it shall be preserved as a permanent record by the TSAU Liaison
- 4. Full Intervention Alert Assigned to Division: The TSAU shall assign the TSMR Alert to the division of the deputy identified in the review after the deputy orientation meeting has occurred and the TSAU has completed the orientation meeting summary.
- 5. Supervisor Review and Completion of the TSMR Alert: Using the Supervisor Guide as a reference for the requirements for the Attachment C, the supervisor shall review all information attached to the TSMR Alert Within 14 calendar days of the TSMR Alert being assigned to the supervisor, including the results of the TSAU's review. In addition to reviewing the material provided in the TSMR Alert, the supervisor is required to complete a review of the deputy's EIS profile prior to determining an intervention strategy. Any patterns or incidents found shall be further documented in the Attachment C.
 - a. As required by the Attachment C, the supervisor review shall include at a minimum, the following:
 - (1) Disciplinary history for the prior 3 years;
 - (2) If any patterns emerge, an additional history of three years should be reviewed. History should include the nature of the complaints/allegations, and if closed, the findings. Similar allegations, regardless of finding, constitute a pattern;
 - (3) Supervisor Notes for the prior twelve months, with a focus on corrective action taken including Coachings;
 - (4) Twelve months of EIS alerts, including alerts related to Body-Worn Camera (BWC), traffic stop activity, and the misclassification of race/ethnicity of drivers or passengers;
 - (5) All prior TSMR and Traffic Stop Annual Report (TSAR) Alerts;
 - (6) Training history to ensure the deputy has received all required training, and to note any other additional or refresher training opportunities the deputy was required to take within the last year at the recommendation of supervisors or command staff; and
 - (7) At the discretion of the supervisor, any additional BWC footage.
 - b. If additional patterns of potential biased policing are found that were not identified in the TSAU review, the supervisor shall document those patterns. Any other concerns about performance or training needs shall also be documented.
 - c. If additional BWC video is reviewed that was not part of the TSAU review, the supervisor shall use the BWC Inspection Matrix to document their review. A copy of each BWC Inspection Matrix shall be attached to the TSMR Alert for submission.
 - d. After reviewing all material, the supervisor, in consultation with the TSAU and the

division chain of command, including the division commander, shall determine the appropriate intervention(s). The intervention(s) selected by the supervisor and division commander in the Attachment C shall be approved by the TSAU Division Liaison. Any disagreements between the supervisor or division chain of command, including the division commander and TSAU shall be resolved by the TSAU commander.

- e. Within 60 calendar days of the alert being assigned to the supervisor, the TSMR Alert shall be routed through the division chain of command to the division commander for approval. All supporting additional documentation created by the supervisor is to be attached to the TSMR Alert including the completion of the Attachment C. The intervention(s) selected by the supervisor in the Attachment C shall be completed and documented.
 - f. Misconduct identified during this review shall be addressed, as specified in Office Policies GH-5, *Early Interventions System* and GH-2, *Internal Investigations*.
6. Division Commander Review: Using the Supervisor Guide as a reference for the requirements for the Attachment C, the division commander's review must include, but is not limited to:
- a. If the supervisor completed the required reviews and documented all identified patterns, if approved interventions were used;
 - b. If the interventions are documented in detail;
 - c. If the interventions were appropriately implemented; and
 - d. If the document is fully completed.
 - e. The division commander shall document the approval in the routing comments of the Alert and forward the Alert through Blue Team for approval by the TSAU.
7. Supervisor 30 Day Follow Up: Following the approval of the TSMR Alert by the division commander, the supervisor is required to make an entry in Blue Team within 30 calendar days with subjective comments on the intervention process, noting if the process can be improved and where it was successful. The supervisor should state the basis for their decision such as the deputy's work performance with a focus on traffic stops, review of BWC video, and a review of the deputy's traffic stop activity. The decision should be limited to the intervention process as it relates to the specific deputy. The Blue Team alert shall be routed through the division chain of command to the TSAU for review and approval by the TSAU Division Liaison and the TSAU Alert Review Committee. The Attachment C and the mandatory 30-day supervisor observation summary note, shall fulfill the documentation requirements of the supervisory response to a TSMR Full Intervention.
- B. **Intermediate Intervention:** Statistical flag reviewed and is discounted by the TSAU; however, the review identified possible indicators of implicit bias. When a TSMR Alert is generated for an intermediate intervention, the following actions shall occur:
- 1. Pre-Orientation Meeting: A pre-orientation meeting shall be held between the TSAU Liaison, and the immediate supervisor of the deputy identified in the review. The meeting is scheduled by the TSAU Liaison.

2. Deputy Orientation Scheduling: The TSAU is responsible scheduling the deputy orientations upon completion of their TSMR review.
 - a. If either the TSAU or the division commander determine the deputy's immediate supervisor should not conduct the alert intervention process for any reason, the commander shall identify another supervisor within the division to complete the intervention process.
 - b. The reason for assigning the TSMR Alert to another supervisor shall be documented by the TSAU in the summary section of the TSMR Alert in IAPro.
 3. Deputy Orientation: A deputy orientation is conducted through a meeting among TSAU personnel, the deputy, and the supervisor. The meeting shall occur at least 2 calendar days after the pre-orientation meeting. The division commander may attend the orientation at their discretion.
 - a. The orientation meeting shall be audio recorded by a TSAU supervisor using an Office-issued recorder.
 - b. A summary of the meeting shall be documented by the TSAU Liaison in the orientation meeting summary document, and the audio recording shall be uploaded into IAPro and attached to the assigned Alert where it shall be preserved as a permanent record.
 4. Supervisor 30 Day Follow Up: Following the orientation, the supervisor shall document in the affected deputy's next upcoming monthly performance Supervisor Note a summary of the observed behaviors/deficiencies noted in the TSAU Alert review, the implemented intervention(s), observed behavior changes since the implementation of the intervention(s), as well as feedback from the affected deputy about their take-aways and recommendations for the TSMR Alert process.
- C. **Memorandum:** Statistical flag is discounted by the TSAU review with no indicators of disparate treatment but did identify other minor policy, procedural, or training issues.
1. When the TSAU review finds no disparate outcomes or possible patterns of bias; however, minor concerns are identified, a memorandum is provided to the deputy's supervisor by the TSAU Liaison within 14 days of the TSAU Commander's approval of the recommendation.
 - a. Upon receipt of the memorandum in Blue Team the supervisor shall review at a minimum the following:
 - (1) Disciplinary history for the prior 3 years;
 - (2) If any patterns emerge, an additional history of three years should be reviewed. History should include the nature of the complaints/allegations, and if closed, the findings. Similar allegations, regardless of finding, constitute a pattern;
 - (3) Supervisor Notes for the prior twelve months, with a focus on corrective action taken including Coachings;
 - (4) Twelve months of EIS alerts, including alerts related to Body-Worn Camera (BWC), traffic stop activity, and the misclassification of

race/ethnicity of drivers or passengers;

- (5) All prior TSMR and TSAR Alerts;
- (6) Training history to ensure the deputy has received all required training, and to note any other additional or refresher training opportunities the deputy was required to take within the last year at the recommendation of supervisors or command staff; and
- (7) Any additional BWC footage, at the discretion of the supervisor.

b. Misconduct identified during this review shall be addressed, as specified in Office Policies GH-5, *Early Interventions System* and GH-2, *Internal Investigations*.

2. The supervisor shall complete the most appropriate intervention(s) to address the concerns outlined in the TSAU Alert memorandum. The supervisor shall document in the affected deputy's next upcoming monthly performance Supervisor Note a summary of the concerns noted in the TSAU Alert review, the implemented intervention(s), observed behavior changes since the implementation of the intervention(s), as well as feedback from the affected deputy about their take-aways and recommendations for the TSMR Alert process.
3. Intervention No Further Action: TSMR flag is discounted by the TSAU review. Disparate outcomes were able to be explained and no disparate treatment were found. The TSAU closes this alert with Disposition "No Further Action."

E. **Additional Time Frames:** A supervisor may require an additional review period to further monitor and assist the deputy in addressing a TSMR Alert intervention.

1. For an additional timeframe of 30 or 60 calendar days, the supervisor shall contact the TSAU by e-mail for approval. A copy of the approval shall be attached to the alert by the TSAU.
2. Examples of when additional time may be considered include, but are not limited to, the deputy requires more intensive mentoring over time or circumstances such as administrative or other leave prevented proper observation.

7. **Supervisor Initiated Intervention:** An approved action, as specified in this Office Policy, taken by a supervisor to improve a situation or prevent a potential negative work performance situation before it develops into a misconduct investigation. Supervisors may also initiate this action when an employee's conduct, has minimal negative impact on the overall operations of the Office. Examples of employee work performance situations in which a supervisor may consider approved interventions include those categorized as a Category 1 or Category 2 of the Attachment B, of Office Policy GC-17, *Employee Disciplinary Procedures*. Employee conduct outside of the limitations of this section shall be addressed, as specified in Office Policy GH-2, *Internal Investigations*. Supervisors are encouraged to contact the PSB if unsure whether the employee work performance situation may be addressed through a supervisor initiated intervention or reported to the PSB for investigation.

A. Prior to determining intervention regarding the work performance situation, the supervisor shall:

1. Confirm the employee's conduct does not exceed a Category 1, First or Second Offense or a Category 2, First Offense, as specified in Office Policy GC-17, *Employee Disciplinary Procedures*, and which has not been received by the Office as an External Complaint, or has not already been assigned to the PSB;

2. Review discipline history by accessing the employee's EI Dashboard to assist the supervisor in their intervention or corrective action decision; and
 3. Ensure that when considering a coaching for the intervention, that the employee will not exceed the number of coachings allowed, as specified in Office Policy GC-17, *Employee Disciplinary Procedures*, for one year prior to the current offense.
 - B. All supervisor initiated intervention action taken shall be documented in Blue Team, as specified in this Office Policy. The entry shall include justification for the intervention and the specific Office Policy or Policies involved in the performance issue linked to the employee.
8. **Employee Responsibilities:** Employees are responsible for keeping supervisors informed of their actions and completing the appropriate Incident Type: Employee Reported Activity Blue Team entry, as specified in Office Policy CP-2, *Code of Conduct*.
 - A. Employees shall utilize the EIS to enter information, review and complete incidents assigned to them in EIS, and route incidents as required by Office Policy.
 - B. If an employee generates an EIS entry in error that requires the entry to be deleted or purged from the EIS, the employee shall forward the entry in Blue Team with an explanation to their supervisor for approval. The supervisor shall review the request and forward it through the chain of command to the division commander, and if approved, forward the entry to the EIU to be removed.
 - C. Attachment A provides a definition of the incident type categories, the person(s) responsible for the entry, and the available allegation(s) for each incident type entry.
 - D. The Employee Grievance Procedure governed by Office Policy GC-16, *Employee Grievance Procedures*, is the method for an employee to resolve concerns pertaining to grievance eligible matters entered on them in EIS. Non-grievable matters are defined in Office Policy GC-16, *Employee Grievance Procedures*.
9. **Supervisor Responsibilities:** Supervisors are responsible for entering employee information into the EIS and using the EIS to monitor subordinates' conduct and performance. Supervisors shall attempt to identify and address performance or conduct issues before they reach an alert within the EIS.
 - A. Attachment A provides a definition of the incident type categories, the person(s) responsible for the entry, and the available allegation(s) for each incident type entry.
 - B. All EIS entries initiated by a supervisor, with the exception of Internal, External, and Service Complaint or Probationary Release/Unclassified Less One Year entries, shall be carbon copied in Blue Team to the involved employee(s). Supervisor initiated EIS entries which do not require the approval/review by the chain of command, such as supervisor notes, shall also be carbon copied in Blue Team to the supervisor of the person initiating the entry.
 - C. Supervisors shall use the EIS to monitor subordinates' conduct. Supervisors shall do the following:
 1. Review and respond to alerts pertaining to subordinates in accordance with this Office Policy;
 2. Initiate, implement and assess the effectiveness of interventions conducted in response to EIS information;
 3. Document in detail the supervisory review conducted, conclusions rendered, and response to all incidents assigned or submitted by subordinate personnel within the EIS;

4. Review weekly subordinates' Blue Team entries to ensure proper action was taken, return deficient entries to subordinates for corrections, and route the approved incidents through the chain of command to the EIU;
 5. Track each subordinate's violations or deficiencies in arrests and the corrective action taken, in order to identify deputies needing repeated corrective action. Deficiencies in arrests include situations where there was no probable cause for arrest or no basis for the legal action taken. These deficiencies shall be documented in the EIS in accordance with Office Policy GB-2, *Command Responsibility*, within a Blue Team IR Memorialization entry;
 6. Conduct a review of EIS records within 14 business days, including disciplinary history, of all employees upon transfer to their supervision or command. This review shall be documented within the Blue Team Supervisor Notes;
 7. Conduct two reviews per month of each sworn, and one per month of each non-sworn subordinate's EIS information for the purpose of identifying and responding to any conduct patterns or concerns including, but not limited to racial profiling, improper immigration enforcement, investigatory stop violations, detentions unsupported by reasonable suspicion or otherwise in violation of Office Policy. This review shall be documented within the Blue Team Supervisor Notes. Supervisors reviewing notifications from the PSB regarding a complaint on an involved employee, who are not otherwise responsible to address the complaint with the involved employee, shall not discuss the facts of the allegation with the involved employee(s), to include during EIS monthly review discussions; in order to not compromise the integrity of the investigation, or any determined intervention action to be taken;
 8. Supervisors who have employees that are on an extended leave of absence shall complete a supervisor note to document the beginning date and end date of the absence. When an extended absence is related to protected leave, the supervisor shall make no reference to any information related to the protected leave other than specifying the dates of the leave. Blue Team Supervisor notes are not required while employees are on extended leave; and
 9. Notify their chain of command so that the Office may initiate an investigation in accordance with Office Policy GH-2, *Internal Investigations*, if they believe an employee may be engaging in racial profiling, unlawful detentions or arrests, improper enforcement of immigration-related laws, or other behaviors that warrant administrative investigation. The supervisor shall also closely monitor the situation.
10. **Command Staff Responsibilities:** Command staff is responsible for entering employee information into the EIS and using the EIS to monitor subordinates' conduct.
- A. Command staff shall attempt to identify and address performance or conduct issues before they reach an alert within EIS.
 - B. Command staff shall use the EIS in the same manner as required by supervisors. Additionally, command staff shall do the following:
 1. Monitor the EIS Purview Inbox to assure timely completion of all EIS incidents assigned to personnel under their command;
 2. Take appropriate corrective or disciplinary action against supervisors who fail to conduct reviews of adequate and consistent quality;

3. Review and evaluate the quality and completeness of supervisory actions and interventions taken in response to EIS alerts and EIS incidents. The quality of these supervisory actions shall be taken into account in the supervisor's own performance evaluations, promotions, or internal transfers;
 4. Document in detail the command review conducted, conclusions rendered, and response to all incidents assigned or submitted by subordinate personnel within the EIS;
 5. Conduct quarterly reviews of broader, pattern-based reports provided by and in conjunction with the EIU to assess the quality and effectiveness of interventions. Specific attention shall be directed at investigatory stop violations and arrests without probable cause; and
 6. Conduct a review of EIS records within 14 business days, including disciplinary history, of all employees upon transfer to their supervision or command. This review shall be documented within the Blue Team Supervisor Notes.
11. **Hardware and Equipment:** The Office shall maintain computer hardware, including servers, terminals and other necessary equipment, in sufficient amount and in good working order to permit personnel, including supervisors and commanders, ready and secure access to the EIS system to permit timely input and review of EIS data.
12. **Data Security and Retention:**
 - A. Employees who have been authorized to access the EIS shall only do so in the performance of their duties. The access and use of the EIS for personal reasons, or as a matter of curiosity, is strictly prohibited. Employees who are found to be in violation of this section shall be subject to disciplinary action, as specified in Office Policy GC-17, *Employee Disciplinary Procedures*.
 - B. Employees who have access to the EIS shall only have the access required of their position in the Office.
 - C. Supervisors shall treat the information contained or obtained during the performance of their duties within the EIS as confidential. Unauthorized disclosure of EIS information, including open administrative investigations, shall result in disciplinary action, as specified in Office Policy GC-17, *Employee Disciplinary Procedures*.
 - D. Individual profiles are set for supervisors to see only those employees they supervise.
 - E. Entry to the system shall be only through authentication through username and password.
 - F. The EIS shall include appropriate identifying information for each involved employee, including the name, serial number, race and/or ethnicity.
 - G. A usage log shall be maintained by the system showing who viewed entries, made entries, and what entries were made.
 - H. Office personnel shall enter information into the EIS in a timely, accurate, and complete manner, and shall maintain the data in a secure and confidential manner. No individual shall have access to individually identifiable information that is maintained only within EIS and is about an employee not within that supervisor's direct command, except as necessary for investigative, technological, or auditing purposes, or if providing temporary supervision due to staffing assignment, or special operational needs.

- I. All personally identifiable information about an employee included in the EIS shall be maintained for at least five years following an employee's separation from the Office. Information necessary for aggregate statistical analysis shall be maintained indefinitely in the EIS, except where restricted by any Court Order.
- J. The EIS may purge data received from TraCS after retaining the information for 12 months. Data received from TraCS includes the Citation, Written Warning, Incidental Contact Form, and Incident Report data feeds.
- K. The EIS may purge data received from Praxis after retaining the information for 12 months. Data received from Praxis includes Patrol Activity Logs (PALs).
- L. The EIS may purge data received from TheHUB after retaining the information for 12 months. Data received from TheHUB includes information from an employee's training record which includes, but is not limited to, the type of training, registration date, and status.



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



Revised 12-12-24

The Early Identification System (EIS) supports the effective supervision and management of Office employees by tracking a variety of events. Attachment A provides categories of events requiring entry into the EIS as required by Office Policy. It identifies the individual and/or unit responsible for the entry and provides a definition for the entry title. Additional lists of allegations associated with entry categories are provided below:

EVENT ENTRY TYPES

Employee Initiated Entries:

- Commendation
- Employee Reported Activity
- Firearm Discharge
- Forced Entry
- Higher Award Nomination
- Internal Complaint
- Line Level Inspection
- Minor Award Nomination
- Security Walk Report
- Service Award Nomination
- Use of Force
- Vehicle Pursuit

Supervisor/Commander Initiated Entries:

- Academy Notes
- Briefing Note
- Coaching – Supervisor
- Coaching – TSAR
- Commendation
- Discretionary Alert
- Employee Reported Activity
- External Complaint
- Higher Award Nomination
- Internal Complaint
- IR Memorialization
- Line Level Inspection
- Minor Award Nomination
- Performance Assessment Measures
- Probationary Release
- Service Award Nomination
- Supervisor Notes
- Training Referral
- Transfer Evaluation
- Vehicle Accident

EIU/PSB/Reporting Division/System Initiated Entries:

- Action Plan
- ADOT Crash Report
- Alert
- Arrest



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



- Award Recipient
- BIO Action Form
- BIO Audit
- BIO Inspection
- Citation
- Coaching
- Complaint Testing
- Critical Incident
- Data Validation
- EIS Action
- EIS Alert
- EIU Test
- External Complaint
- External Complaint (Criminal)
- Field Interview (FI/NTCF)
- Inability for Medical Reasons
- Incidental Contact Receipt
- Incident Report
- Initial Appearance Court Dispositions
- Internal Complaint
- Internal Complaint (Criminal)
- Justice Court Disposition
- MCAO Charging Notice
- MCAO Final Disposition
- MCAO Further Notice
- MCAO Turndown Notice
- Notice of Claim/Lawsuit
- Patrol Activity Log
- Performance Assessment Measures
- Probationary Release
- Service Complaint
- Traffic Stop
- Traffic Stop Annual Report
- Traffic Stop Monthly Report
- Traffic Stop Quarterly Report
- Traffic Stop Ad Hoc Report
- Training
- Written Warning (Traffic)



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



DEFINITIONS /APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS:

Academy Notes – Entries generated by a Training Division Academy supervisor designed to maintain a written record of the conduct and performance of an individual assigned to a sworn, detention or civilian academy.

- Allegations:
 - Various beginning with Notes

Action Plan - An approved written plan documented in the EIS consisting of a series of specific tasks and performance goals for the purpose of improving an employee's work performance and preventing negative work performance from developing into misconduct. The effectiveness of an action plan will be assessed by monitoring employee performance for a designated timeframe, discussing progress with the employee and documenting progression in the EIS. The EIU will work in conjunction with the supervisor receiving the incident to create specific tasks and performance goals for the employee.

- Allegations:
 - Action Plan- Alert based
 - Action Plan- Supervisor Initiated Intervention

ADOT Crash Report – An entry generated by the EIS to document the completion of an ADOT Crash Report/Investigation by an employee.

- Allegations:
 - None

Arrest – An entry that is generated by the EIS to document every arrest made by an employee.

- Allegations:
 - None

Award Recipient – An entry generated by the awarding authority to document an employee that has received an award, as specified by Office Policy GC-13, *Awards*.

- Allegations:
 - AR – Chief's Award for Excellence
 - AR – Community Relations/Outreach Award
 - AR – Dive Team Achievement Service Award
 - AR – Emergency Service Award
 - AR – Employee of the Quarter
 - AR – Employee of the Year
 - AR – High Risk Response
 - AR – Honor Guard Meritorious Service Award
 - AR – Humanitarian of the Year
 - AR – Letter of Commendation
 - AR – Life Saving Medal
 - AR – Medal of Valor
 - AR – Medical Aide Award
 - AR – Memorandum of Commendation
 - AR – Meritorious Unit Citation
 - AR – Military Service
 - AR – Mobile Field Force



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



- AR – Other Award
- AR – Purple Heart
- AR – Recognition Leave 4 hours
- AR – Recognition Leave 8 hours
- AR – Recognition Leave 10 hours
- AR – Sheriff's Commendation
- AR – Sheriff's Distinguished Service Medal
- AR – Sheriff's Medal
- AR – Sheriff's Meritorious Service Medal
- AR – Sheriff's Star
- AR – Supervisor of the Year

BIO Action Form – A notification initiated by the Bureau of Internal Oversight (BIO) and forwarded to commanders identifying deficiencies discovered during the performance of non-audit services. The process for the completion of a *BIO Action Form* is specified in Office Policy GH-4, *Bureau of Internal Oversight Audits and Inspections*.

- Allegations:
 - BAF – Administrative Investigations Inspection
 - BAF – Alert
 - BAF – Bias Free Policing CP-8 Inspection
 - BAF – CA Disposition Inspection
 - BAF – CAD Inspection
 - BAF – Cash Handling Inspection
 - BAF – Complaint Intake Testing
 - BAF – Constitutional Policy Plan (CPP) Briefing Inspection Report
 - BAF – Discussed Traffic Stop Inspection
 - BAF – E-mail Inspection
 - BAF – EIS Alert Inspection
 - BAF – Incident Report Inspection
 - BAF – Investigative Funds Inspection
 - BAF – NTCF Inspection
 - BAF – Off-duty Inspection
 - BAF – Passenger Contact Inspection
 - BAF – Patrol Activity Log Inspection
 - BAF – P-card Inspection
 - BAF – Post Stop Ethnicity Inspection
 - BAF – Property/Facility Inspection
 - BAF – Review Traffic Stop Inspection
 - BAF – Search Inspection
 - BAF – Shift Roster Inspection
 - BAF – Supervisor Notes Inspection
 - BAF – Targeted Integrity Inspection
 - BAF – Traffic Stop Data Inspection

BIO Audit – An entry that is generated by the BIO to document an audit completed, as specified by Office Policy GH-4, *Bureau of Internal Oversight Audits and Inspections*.

- Allegations:
 - None



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



BIO Inspection – An entry that is generated by the BIO to document an inspection completed, as specified by Office Policy GH-4, *Bureau of Internal Oversight Audits and Inspections*.

- Allegations:
 - None

Briefing Note – An entry that is generated by a supervisor designed to memorialize briefings involving one or more employees, as specified in Office Policy GB-2, *Command Responsibility*.

- Allegations:
 - Notes – EA-11, *Arrest Procedures*
 - Notes – CP-2, *Code of Conduct*
 - Notes – CP-3, *Workplace Professionalism*
 - Notes – CP-8, *Preventing Racial and Other Bias-Based Profiling*
 - Notes – CPP Briefing
 - Notes – EB-1, *Traffic Enforcement, Violator Contacts, and Citation Issuance*
 - Notes – GJ-35, *Body-Worn Cameras*
 - Notes – Other policy not listed (specific policy identified in the note)
 - Notes – Reinforced Bias-Free Policing

Citation – An entry that is generated by the EIS to document every citation issued by an employee.

- Allegations:
 - None

Coaching – An entry that is generated by a supervisor to document a coaching intervention.

- Allegations:
 - Various – Policy applicable to the coaching.

Commendation – An entry that is generated, by a supervisor or employee, as a verbal expression of approval or praise of another employee.

- Allegations:
 - None

Complaint Testing – An entry generated by the BIO to document their inspection of Complaint Intake Testing.

- Allegations:
 - None

Critical Incident – Any incident that involves the use of force by an employee resulting in death or serious physical injury, the intentional or unintentional discharge of a firearm by an employee in the performance of their lawful duties, or the death of a prisoner or inmate, by any means, while in the custody of the Office, as specified in Office Policy GJ-2, *Critical Incident Response*. This incident shall be generated by the Professional Standards Bureau (PSB).

- Allegations:
 - None

Data Validation – An entry that is generated by the TSAU, when during the course of conducting data analysis, information, or collected data requires additional clarification.

- Allegations:
 - DV – Duplicate VSCF
 - DV – Incorrect Use of Contact Form



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



- DV – Length of Stop
- DV – No Action Taken
- DV – No VSCF For Traffic Stop
- DV – Other

Discretionary Alert – An entry that is generated by a supervisor to manually initiate the EIS alert process on subordinate personnel prior to the employee meeting or exceeding an established threshold to address employee performance and/or conduct.

- Allegations:
 - None

EIS Action – An entry generated by the EIU to document and track EIS entries that have been submitted and are incomplete, past due, misrouted, or requested to be purged due to data entry error.

- Allegations:
 - EISA – EIS entry purge request
 - EISA – PSB Purge

EIS Alert – A notification generated by the EIS that initiates a review of employee performance and/or conduct or of an Office operating procedure.

- Allegations:
 - Various – beginning with EA

EIU Test – An entry generated by the EIU used to test various entries in production when updates are required by IAPro vendor.

- Allegations:
 - None

Employee Reported Activity – An entry generated by an employee to report an incident in accordance with Office Policy CP-2, *Code of Conduct*.

- Allegations:
 - ERA – Booking of family member into Office jail
 - ERA – Damage to equipment
 - ERA – Issuance of court order naming employee
 - ERA – Loss of badge/ID
 - ERA – Loss of equipment
 - ERA – Off-duty police contact
 - ERA – Receipt of moving vehicle traffic citation
 - ERA – Receipt of parking citation (County vehicle)
 - ERA – Suspension/revocation of driving privileges

External Complaint – An entry generated by the supervisor to document the expression of dissatisfaction by the public, directed at an employee's conduct, Office policy and procedures, or service, as specified in Office Policy GH-2, *Internal Investigations*.

- Allegations:
 - Various – policy applicable to complaint

External Complaint (Criminal) – An entry generated by the PSB to document a criminal investigation of an



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



employee from an external reporting source.

- Allegations:
 - None

Field Information (FI/NTCF) – An entry generated by the EIS to document the collection of field information collected in a *Non-Traffic Contact Form*. This form documents an investigatory stop/detention or search not contained with an *Incident Report (IR)* or *Vehicle Stop Contact Form*.

- Allegations:
 - Search (person) – Various
 - Search (vehicle) – Various
 - Investigatory Stop/Detention

Firearm Discharge – An entry generated by an employee to report the intentional, unintentional, or accidental discharge of a firearm not related to a documented use of force.

- Allegations:
 - None

Forced Entry – An entry generated by an employee to document the entry into any structure by means of force resulting in property damage.

- Allegations:
 - None

Higher Award Nomination – An entry generated by a supervisor or employee to document the nomination or recommendation of an employee for consideration of a Higher Award, as specified in Office Policy GC-13, *Awards*.

- Allegations:
 - HAN – Community Relations/Outreach Award
 - HAN – Employee of the Year
 - HAN – Humanitarian of the Year
 - HAN – Life Saving Medal
 - HAN – Medal of Valor
 - HAN – Meritorious Unit Citation
 - HAN – Purple Heart
 - HAN – Sheriff's Medal
 - HAN – Sheriff's Star
 - HAN – Sheriff's Distinguished Service Medal
 - HAN – Sheriff's Meritorious Service Medal
 - HAN – Supervisor of the Year

Inability for Medical Reason – An entry generated by the Compliance Division personnel to document the release of an employee from employment for non-disciplinary reasons.

- Allegations:
 - None

Incident Report – An entry generated by the EIS to document the completion of an IR by an employee.

- Allegations:
 - Search (person) – Various



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



- Search (vehicle) – Various
- Investigatory Stop/Detention

Incidental Contact – An entry generated by the EIS to document the completion of an *Incidental Contact Form* by an employee, as specified in Office Policy EB-1, *Traffic Enforcement, Violator Contact, and Citation Issuance*. An *Incidental Contact Form* documents contact with a subject in a vehicle where neither a citation nor warning is appropriate.

- Allegations:
 - None

Initial Appearance Court Dispositions – An entry generated by the EIS to document incidents where the Maricopa County Initial Appearance Court has dismissed/declined to pursue a criminal charge initiated by the arrest and booking of an individual by a deputy sheriff.

- Allegations:
 - IACD – Released No Activity IA Court
 - IACD – Released Case Dismissed

Internal Complaint – An entry generated by a supervisor as the result of a report by an employee alleging specific wrongful conduct on the part of another employee, as specified in Office Policy GH-2, *Internal Investigations*.

- Allegations:
 - Various – policy applicable to complaint

Internal Complaint (Criminal) – An entry generated by the PSB to document a criminal internal investigation of an employee stemming from an internal reporting source.

- Allegations:
 - None

IR Memorialization – An entry generated by a supervisor detailing report writing deficiencies of a serious nature, or any investigatory stop, detention, or search unsupported by reasonable suspicion or otherwise in violation of Office policy. The IR memorialization shall be generated if an employee's report, investigatory stop, detention, or search: contains conclusory or boilerplate language; contains inconsistent information; lacks articulation of the legal basis for action; has other indicia that the information in the report or form is not authentic or correct; lacks probable cause for arrest; lacks reasonable suspicion; lacks elements of the crime; indicates a Miranda violation; or appears to show evidence of bias-based profiling.

- Allegations:
 - IRM – Bias-Based Profiling
 - IRM – Boilerplate
 - IRM – Conclusory Language
 - IRM – Inconsistent Information
 - IRM – Indicia that information on the report is not authentic or correct
 - IRM – Lacks articulation of legal basis for action
 - IRM – Miranda Violation
 - IRM – Missing elements of the crime
 - IRM – No probable cause for arrest
 - IRM – No probable cause for charge
 - IRM – No reasonable suspicion
 - IRM – Unlawful/improper detention
 - IRM – Unlawful/improper investigatory stop



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



- IRM – Unlawful/improper search
- IRM – Unlawful/improper seizure of property
- IRM – Other

Justice Court Disposition – An entry generated by the EIS to document the disposition of all citations and court submissions processed through a Maricopa County Justice Court.

- Allegations:
 - JCD –Various

Line Level Inspection – An entry generated by a supervisor or a designated employee at the direction of a supervisor documenting the completion of an inspection conducted pursuant to the performance of their duties. Line level inspections include but are not limited to, the following: uniform inspections, vehicle inspections, facility inspections, documentation inspections, and equipment inspections, as dictated in Office Policy GB-2, *Command Responsibility*.

- Allegations:
 - LLI – Appearance and grooming
 - LLI – Condition of assigned equipment
 - LLI – Employee compliance with written and oral instructions
 - LLI – Facility or work area cleanliness and condition
 - LLI – Office Owned Firearm Inspection
 - LLI – Other
 - LLI – PREA Safety Inspection
 - LLI – Property Room Inspection
 - LLI – Safety Inspection
 - LLI – Tow Yard Inspection
 - LLI – Vehicle condition
 -

MCAO Charging Notice – An entry that is generated by the EIU to document the notification from the Maricopa County Attorney's Office that charges have been formally filed pursuant to a criminal complaint submitted by a deputy sheriff.

- Allegations:
 - Various – beginning with MCAOCN

MCAO Final Disposition – An entry generated by the EIU to document the notification from the Maricopa County Attorney's Office that a formally filed criminal complaint has been adjudicated.

- Allegations:
 - Various – beginning with MCAOFD

MCAO Further Notice – An entry that is generated by the EIU to document the notification from the Maricopa County Attorney's Office that additional information is being requested pursuant to a criminal complaint submitted by a deputy sheriff.

- Allegations:
 - Various – beginning with MCAOFN

MCAO Turndown Notice – An entry generated by the EIU to document the notification from the Maricopa County Attorney's Office that prosecution has been declined with respect to a criminal complaint submitted for charging by a deputy sheriff.



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



- Allegations:
 - Various – beginning with MCAOTN

Minor Award Nomination – An entry generated by a supervisor or employee to document the nomination or recommendation of an employee for consideration of a Minor Award, as specified in Office Policy GC-13, *Awards*.

- Allegations:
 - MAN – Chief's Award for Excellence
 - MAN – Employee of the Quarter
 - MAN – Letter of Commendation
 - MAN – Medical Aid Award
 - MAN – Memorandum of Commendation
 - MAN – Sheriff's Commendation

Notice of Claim/Lawsuit – An entry that is generated by the EIU which documents all civil and administrative claims filed with and all civil lawsuits served upon, the Office and/or its deputies or agents.

- Allegations:
 - NOC – Inmate services
 - NOC – Jail conditions/facilities
 - NOC – Negligence/dereliction of duty
 - NOC – Property damage
 - NOC – Unlawful arrest
 - NOC – Unlawful seizure of property
 - NOC – Use of force
 - NOC – Vehicle accident
 - NOC – Other

Patrol Activity Log – An entry generated by the EIS to document the review of the daily patrol activity log and if any issues or corrective action was taken in response to the supervisory review.

- Allegations:
 - PAL – Issues noted, and corrective action taken listed in summary
 - PAL – No issues noted

Performance Assessment Measure – An entry generated by a supervisor or the EIU that documents the actions or incidents involving an employee which are tracked within the EIS to provide supervisors with the ability to proactively monitor and supervise their employees.

- Allegations:
 - PAM – Exposure/injury
 - PAM – Failure to show for training
 - PAM – Failure to qualify with firearm
 - PAM – JJDP Violation
 - PAM – Mishandling of equipment (not firearm)
 - PAM – Missed court appearance
 - PAM – Money shortage/ overage
 - PAM – Photo radar citation
 - PAM – Property and evidence rejections
 - PAM – Security breach
 - PAM – Tow violation



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



Probationary Release – An entry generated by supervisors and/or the Administrative Services Division to document the release of an employee from employment due to unsuccessful completion of a probationary period; or an employee who is unclassified, serves no probationary period and at less than one year has not met their job standards and/or requirements of their current job profile; or to document the unsuccessful promotional probation of an employee.

- Allegations:
 - PR – Probation Release
 - PR – Unclassified Release Less Than One Year
 - PR – Unsuccessful Promotional Probation

Security Walk Report – An entry required to be completed by the responsible detention personnel associated with a security walk or headcount that is missed, incomplete, or beyond the required timeframe. This entry shall be completed, as specified in Office Policy DH-6, *Inmate Supervision, Security Walks, and Headcounts*.

- Allegations:
 - SWR – Incomplete Security Walk
 - SWR – Late Security Walk
 - SWR – Missed Security Walk

Service Award Nomination – An entry generated by a supervisor or employee to document the nomination or recommendation of an employee for consideration of a Service Award, as specified in Office Policy GC-13, *Awards*.

- Allegations:
 - SAN – Dive Team Achievement
 - SAN – Emergency Service
 - SAN – High Risk Response
 - SAN – Honor Guard Meritorious Service
 - SAN – Military
 - SAN – Mobile Field Force

Service Complaint – An entry generated by the PSB to document expression of dissatisfaction by the public, regarding inadequate policy, procedure, practice, service level due to manpower or resources, statutory authority required of the Office, as specified in Office Policy GH-2, *Internal Investigations*.

- Allegations:
 - Various – policy applicable to complaint

Supervisor Note – An entry generated by a supervisor designed to maintain a written record of the performance of an individual employee by the supervisor, as specified in Office Policy GB-2, *Command Responsibility*.

- Allegations:
 - Notes – Bereavement Event
 - Notes – Community Engagement
 - Notes – EA-11, *Arrest Procedures*
 - Notes – CP-2, *Code of Conduct*
 - Notes – CP-3, *Workplace Professionalism*
 - Notes – CP-8, *Preventing Racial and Other Bias-Based Profiling*
 - Notes – CPP Briefing
 - Notes – EB-1, *Traffic Enforcement, Violators Contacts, and Citation Issuance*
 - Notes – GC-19 Facial Hair Review
 - Notes – GJ28 PREA



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



- Notes – GJ-35, *Body-Worn Cameras*
- Notes – Other policy not listed (specific policy identified in note)
- Notes – No traffic stops conducted during review period
- Notes – Reinforced Bias-Free Policing
- Notes – Reviewed Collected Data (Issues and Corrective Action Taken Listed in Summary)
- Notes – Reviewed Collected Data (No Issues)
- Notes – Reviewed Body Camera Footage (No Issues)
- Notes – Reviewed Body Camera Footage (Issues and Corrective Action Taken Listed in Summary)
- Notes – Reviewed EIS Information/ Disciplinary History upon transfer
- Notes – Reviewed employee's EIS information
- Notes – Reviewed Patrol Activity Logs (Issues and Corrective Action Taken listed in Summary)
- Notes – Reviewed Patrol Activity Logs (No Issues)
- Notes – Reviewed Traffic Stops with Deputy
- Notes – Safety logbook entries
- Notes – Supervisory notification of ID Theft or Lack of ID arrest/detention

Traffic Stop – An entry generated by the EIS to document and report the occurrence of a traffic stop being conducted by an employee.

- Allegations:
 - TS – Detained/Delayed for Immigration Violations
 - TS – ICE/CBP Contact
 - TS – Immigration Status Inquiry
 - TS – No body-camera footage for traffic stop
 - Search (person) – Various
 - Search (vehicle) – Various

Traffic Stop Ad Hoc Report – An entry generated by the TSAU to document and report ad hoc traffic stop data analysis conducted pursuant to Office Policy EB-2, *Traffic Stop Data Collection*.

- Allegations:
 - None

Traffic Stop Annual Report – An entry generated by the TSAU to document and report annual traffic stop data analysis conducted pursuant to Office Policy EB-2, *Traffic Stop Data Collection*.

- Allegations:
 - Various – Beginning with TSAR

Traffic Stop Monthly Report – An entry generated by the TSAU to document and report monthly traffic stop data analysis conducted pursuant to Office Policy EB-2, *Traffic Stop Data Collection*.

- Allegations:
 - Various – Beginning with TSMR

Traffic Stop Quarterly Report – An entry generated by the TSAU to document and report quarterly traffic stop data analysis conducted pursuant to Office Policy EB-2, *Traffic Stop Data Collection*.

- Allegations:
 - None

Training – An entry generated by the EIS to document the completion of training, as defined in Office Policies



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

EVENT ENTRY TYPES/DEFINITIONS-APPLICABLE ALLEGATIONS

Attachment A



GG-1, *Peace Officer Training Administration*, and GG-2, *Detention/Civilian Training Administration*. The entry shall contain the course title, course ID number, and hours of instruction.

- Allegations:
 - None

Training Referral – An entry generated by a supervisor to document the submission/request/referral to the Training Division for a particular employee to receive training to address a work performance issue. The entry shall contain an explanation of the topic and type of training requested. This referral shall be made in accordance with Office Policies GG-1, *Peace Officer Training Administration*, and GG-2, *Detention/Civilian Training Administration*.

- Allegations:
 - None

Transfer Evaluation – An entry generated by the losing supervisor initiating them to complete and document a transfer evaluation capturing the performance of the employee up to that point in the rating period, as specified in Office Policy GC-4, *Employee Performance Appraisals* and GC-4(S), *Sworn Employee Performance Appraisals and Management*.

- Allegations:
 - None

Use of Force – An entry generated by an employee to document an incident which is defined as a documented use of force incident, as specified in Office Policy CP-1, *Use of Force*.

- Allegations:
 - None

Vehicle Accident – An entry generated by a supervisor to document the involvement of an employee in a vehicle accident involving an Office vehicle, as specified in Office Policy GE-4, *Use, Assignment, and Operation of Vehicles*.

- Allegations:
 - VA – Non-Preventable
 - VA – Preventable/ Undetermined

Vehicle Pursuit – An entry generated by an employee to document the involvement of the employee in a vehicle pursuit, as specified in Office Policy CP-4, *Emergency and Pursuit Driving*.

- Allegations:
 - None

Written Warning (Traffic) – An entry generated by the EIS to document every warning completed by an employee.

- Allegations:
 - None



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS ALERT FORM
Attachment B



Revised 12-12-24

This form shall be completed by the supervisor for each Early Identification System (EIS) Alert assigned to the supervisor for disposition. This form shall be utilized to fulfill the documentation requirements of the supervisory response to an EIS Alert as specified in Office Policy GH-5, Early Identification System. This form shall be submitted as an attachment to the Blue Team EIS Alert entry once an appropriate intervention response has been identified and initiated.

EA ALERT #: Click or tap here to enter text.

DATE: Click or tap to enter a date.

EMPLOYEE NAME: Click or tap here to enter text.

EMPLOYEE SERIAL #: Click or tap here to enter text.

Step 1: Review the alert notification/information contained in the Blue Team EIS Alert including the type of alert, attached documentation, entries that generated the alert, and any other relevant resources to assess the alert and identify an appropriate level of intervention, the initiation of the disciplinary process, or acknowledgement of commendable behavior. This shall be a comprehensive review in accordance with Office Policy GH-5, Early Identification System (EIS).

1. Describe in detail the supervisory review/assessment conducted, including specifically what information/documentation was reviewed, and considered when identifying which intervention(s) are appropriate in response to the EIS Alert:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Step 2: Review the alert notification/information contained in the Blue Team EIS Alert to identify any prior EIS Alerts generated for the same issue(s) that generated this alert. List any prior EIS Alerts for the same issue(s) that generated this alert and the disposition of each.

1. Has this employee had any prior EIS Alerts for the same issue(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2. If yes, list prior EIS Alerts for the same issues(s) and the disposition of each:

EIS Alert # and Disposition:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Step 3: Was there a discussion between the supervisor and the employee regarding the EIS Alert?

- ☐ Yes - Describe the date, time, location, and nature of the discussion:

Click or tap here to enter text.

- ☐ No - Describe why no discussion occurred:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Step 4: Identify the appropriate approved intervention(s)* initiated in response to the alert (Check all that apply).

***Complete definitions of each of the approved interventions listed in Office Policy GH-5, *Early Identification System*.**

☐ **No Further Action**

☐ **Commendation**



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS ALERT FORM
Attachment B



-
- ☐ Meeting With Supervisor
 - ☐ Squad Briefing
 - ☐ Employee Services
 - ☐ Supervisor Ride-Along / Work-Along
 - ☐ Training
 - ☐ Supervisory Evaluation Period (30, 60, or 90-day period)
 - ☐ Action Plan
 - ☐ Meeting With Commander
 - ☐ Coaching
 - ☐ Re-assignment
 - ☐ Referral to Professional Standards Bureau

☐ **Additional notes / comments: (Optional:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

Supervisor Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Supervisor Serial #: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date Intervention(s) Initiated: Click or tap here to enter text.

Step 5: Attach any supporting documentation not already attached that was reviewed by the supervisor during the intervention process to the Blue Team EIS Alert Incident.

Step 6: Attach this completed document to the EIS Alert Incident and submit in Blue Team through the chain of command for review/approval.



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C



Revised 12-12-24

The Traffic Stop Analysis Unit (TSAU) is dedicated to maintaining an Office that is devoted to fair and impartial policing. Through Traffic Stop Monthly Report (TSMR) statistics, the TSAU identifies deputies whose policing patterns vary in the following traffic stop outcomes: Citations, Warnings, Incidental Contacts, Arrests, Length of Stops, Searches and Seizures. That TSAU has identified a given deputy is not, by itself, conclusive proof of problematic behavior. Rather, a TSMR alert reflects TSAU's conclusion, based on a statistical analysis, that there are warning signs or indicia of possible racial or biased-based profiling, unlawful detentions and arrests, or improper enforcement of immigration-related laws. The Alert is therefore an opportunity for supervisors to review that information and work together with deputies and TSAU to correct those patterns. The review may also identify other training or performance needs (e.g., reminders about safe vehicle approaches, active listening, body-worn camera compliance, etc.). There is no question that conducting operations in a manner that results in unfair treatment of a protected class is inappropriate and illegal, and that it undermines the profession of Law Enforcement. Through its demonstrated commitment to the TSMR process, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office ensures that it will continue to provide exceptional service and bias free policing to the residents of Maricopa County.

Supervisors shall continue to conduct monthly reviews of data collected, as specified in Office Policies CP-8, Preventing Racial and Other Bias-Based Profiling; GB-2, Command Responsibility; EB-1, Traffic Enforcement, Violator Contacts, and Citation Issuance; EB-2, Traffic Stop Data Collection; and GJ-35, Body-Worn Cameras, for the deputies under their command, to determine whether there are warning signs or indicia of possible racial profiling, unlawful detentions and arrests, or improper enforcement of immigration-related laws.

This form shall be completed by the supervisor for each Traffic Stop Monthly Review (TSMR) Alert assigned to the supervisor for disposition. It is designed exclusively for traffic stop alerts that are generated through the TSMR process. This document, along with the mandatory 30-day supervisor observation summary note, will fulfill the documentation requirements of the supervisory response to a TSMR Alert as specified in Office Policy GH-5, Early Identification System. This form shall be submitted as an attachment to the Blue Team TSMR Alert entry, once an appropriate intervention response has been identified, approved by TSAU, and initiated. This form shall be returned to TSAU within 60 days of the TSMR Alert being assigned to the direct supervisor. Additionally, the supervisor shall select the intervention(s) and have them approved by TSAU within the first 14 days of the TSMR Alert being assigned to the direct supervisor. The TSAU Liaison will cover the review of the alert notification contained in the Blue Team TSMR Alert. Refer to the Supervisor Guide for the TSAU's role in the Alert process. (See Appendix A for an outline of all required timelines.)

TSMR Alert #: Click here to enter text.

Employee Name: Click here to enter text.

Employee Serial #: Click here to enter text.

District / Shift Assignment: Click here to enter text.



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



Step 1: Review the alert notification/information contained in the Blue Team TSMR Alert. This shall be a comprehensive review in accordance with Office Policy GH-5, Early Identification System (EIS) and will include the following:

- Type of alert
 - Attached documentation
 - Statistical information
 - Entries that generated the alert
 - Body Worn Camera (BWC) videos reviews
 - The Orientation Meeting Summary, and
 - Any other relevant resources to assess the alert and identify an appropriate level of intervention.
- A. Document the review of the material attached to the Alert and any additional reviews conducted. Describe in detail what specific information, documentation, and BWC videos were reviewed/considered when identifying which intervention(s) is appropriate in response to the TSMR Alert.

Enter Text

- B. Did you review additional documents that were not attached, e.g. other VSCF's and IR's? Yes ☐ No ☐
- a. If yes, list the MC# and a synopsis of why the documents were reviewed and what was found.

Enter Text

- C. Did you watch any of the BWC Videos that were reviewed by TSAU? Yes ☐ No ☐
- a. If yes, list the MC#, portion of video that was watched, e.g. all, 1:00 to 1:50, and synopsis of what was observed.

Enter Text

- D. Did you watch additional BWC video that was not reviewed and attached by TSAU? Yes ☐ No ☐
- a. If yes, attach a copy of the completed BWC Review Template to the Alert and provide a summary of your review in the text box below. Consider and remain mindful of all potential policy violations issues, procedure issues, and patterns. If no policy violations are observed, affirmatively note that in the text. The documentation shall include but not limited to: race/ethnicity of the driver, deputy demeanor, driver demeanor, summary of conversation with driver including any questions asked, the reason for the stop, the outcome of stop, if all required radio communications were captured on BWC, notable events (outside of standard traffic stop interactions), etc.

Enter Text

- E. Describe any issues/concerns or patterns that were identified and any other information relevant to this review.



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



Enter Text

- F. Document time spent on Step 1:

Enter Text

Step 2: In addition to reviewing the material provided in the Alert you are required to complete a review of the employee's EIS Profile prior to determining an intervention strategy. Where appropriate, keyword searches may help to deduce patterns of behavior. Suggestions for keyword searches include: race, racial, bias, harass, harassing, rude, rudeness, demeanor, profiling, belligerent. Any patterns or incidents found shall be documented below. The review shall, at a minimum, include the following:

- A. Disciplinary history for the prior 3 years. If any patterns emerge, an additional history of three years should be reviewed. History should include the nature of the complaints/allegations, and if closed, the findings. Similar allegations, regardless of finding, constitute a pattern.
- a. Describe the review that took place and if any patterns were identified.

Enter text

- B. Supervisor notes for the prior twelve months with the focus on corrective action taken including Coaching.
- a. Describe the review that took place and if any patterns were identified.

Enter Text

- C. Twelve months of EIS Alerts, including alerts related to body-worn camera (BWC), traffic stop activity, and the misclassification of race/ethnicity of drivers or passengers.
- a. List prior alerts related to BWC, traffic stop activity, and the misclassification of race/ethnicity of drivers or passengers.

Enter Text

- b. Describe the review that took place and if any patterns were identified.

Enter Text

- D. All prior TSMR and TSAR Alerts and indicate benchmarks and dispositions.



GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C



Enter Text

- E. Training History, to ensure that the deputy has received all required training, and also to note any other additional or refresher training the deputy was required to take at the suggestion of supervisors or command staff.

- a. Describe the review that took place and if any patterns were identified.

Enter Text

- F. List any other reviews that were completed and note any policy violations that were found during any of the reviews. Additional BWC footage may be reviewed in the Supervisor's discretion.

Enter Text

Document time spent on Step 2:

Enter Text

Step 3:

Identify the appropriate approved intervention(s)* initiated in response to the traffic stop alert. Prior traffic stop alerts / dispositions will be taken into account when determining the appropriate intervention. (For guidance regarding the most appropriate intervention, please refer to the Supervisor Guide.) Mandatory Interventions held during the orientation meeting are separate interventions and should be considered when selecting other interventions. Policy Review with Supervisor and Training: Review of Implicit Bias Video(s) should be selected below if they are used to supplement the intervention chosen, but they are not standalone intervention options. If these optional interventions are used then new information, separate focus, or different videos than used during the Orientation Meeting.

Intervention(s) selected in this section must be approved by TSAU within 14 days and completed and returned to TSAU within 60 calendar days of assignment of the alert. (Check all that apply). Complete definitions of each of the approved interventions are listed in Office Policy GH-5, Early Identification System.

- ☐ Additional BWC Reviews and Documentation (Minimum four per 30 days (minimum 8 total) not to include Monthly required BWC reviews)
- ☐ Supervisor Ride-Along (Minimum 2 hour required)



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



- ☐ Training: Formal
- ☐ Training: Informal
- ☐ Supervisory Evaluation Period (30, 60, or 90-day period)
- ☐ Action Plan
- ☐ Meeting with the Commander
- ☐ Re-assignment
- ☐ Referral to Professional Standards Bureau
- ☐ Training: Review of Implicit Bias Video(s) (This intervention cannot be used as a standalone intervention)
- ☐ Policy Review with Supervisor. CP-8, EB-1, EB-2, and GJ-35 (This intervention cannot be used as a standalone intervention)
- ☐ No Further Action (See Step 6)

Step 4: Did any additional meetings between the Supervisor and the employee to address the TSMR Alert occur after the Orientation Meeting? Yes ☐ No ☐

Enter Text

- A. If No, provide an explanation.

Enter Text

- B. If Yes, Document all meetings between the supervisor and the employee regarding the TSMR Alert. This includes all formal discussions that are not documented in Step 5 below. For example, discussions that occur as part of a Supervisor Ride-Along should not be documented here and should only be documented in Step 5 below. For each meeting describe the date, time, location, and thoroughly describe the discussion. Describe the specific topic discussed and materials presented (if applicable).

Enter Text

Step 5: Each step of TSMR Alert Assessment is required to be fully documented. Document the manner the selected approved interventions were implemented, and the supervisor action(s) taken to address the



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



TSMR Alert. This shall be a comprehensive description of the intervention(s) initiated by the supervisor and the justification for intervention selection in accordance with Office Policy GH-5, Early Identification System (EIS). Reminder: If the intervention type was used during the Orientation Meeting, then new information, separate focus, or different videos should be used and documented separately.

1. Was the intervention method of Additional BWC Reviews and Documentation (A minimum of four per 30 days-minimum 8 total- not to include Monthly required BWC reviews) initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No (Must attach completed BWC Review Template)

- If no, skip to question 2.
- If yes, describe in detail the reason(s) why the Additional BWC Reviews and Documentation is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert and how it will address: [Click here to enter text.](#)
 - List the MC# for each traffic stop review, the date of the review and attach the completed BWC Review Template to the alert in Blue Team. [Click here to enter text](#)
 - Indicate why each BWC video was selected (e.g. reviewing stops that are specific to the Alert). Enter Text Here
 - Document a summary of each traffic stop and describe in detail observations made during the review including the reason for the stop and the outcome, (citation vs warning). Enter text here.
 - Document if required radio communication was used. Enter text here.
 - Document in detail the discussion with the deputy for each traffic stop reviewed. Enter text here.
 - Document any policy violations, other issues/concerns, anomalies, or possible patterns that were discovered during the review and how they were handled. (Policy violations shall be handled in accordance with GH-5) Enter text here.

2. Was the intervention method of Supervisor Ride-Along initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

- If no, skip to question 3.
- If yes, indicate the following:
 - 1) Describe in detail the reason(s) why a supervisor ride-along is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert:

[Click here to enter text.](#)



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



- 2) Describe the date(s), time(s), and duration(s) (minimum of 2 hours required) the supervisor ride-along were completed:

Click here to enter text.

- 3) Describe in detail the outcome of the supervisor ride-along intervention.
- a. Detail the traffic stops observed during the ride-along which may include, but is not limited to
 - i. the deputy's observations of traffic violations without taking action;
 - ii. adherence to policy requirements such as required activation of BWC prior to initiating stop,
 - iii. deputy call-out of the traffic stops;
 - iv. and nature of the interaction with the violators, including demeanor.
 - v. The supervisor shall also document any review of policies and procedures that occurred during the ride-along, and suggestions for improvement.

Click here to enter text.

3. Was the intervention method of Training-Formal initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

- If no, skip to question 4.

- If yes, indicate the following:

- 1) Describe in detail the reason(s) why training is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert:

Click here to enter text.

- 2) Describe the type(s) of training requested:

Click here to enter text.

- Date a Blue Team Training Referral entry was generated for the incident(s): Click here to enter a date.

4. Was the intervention method of Training-Informal initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

- If no, skip to question 5.



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



- If yes, indicate the following:

- 1) Describe in detail the reason(s) why training is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert

Click here to enter text.

- 2) Describe the reference material used:

Click here to enter text.

5. Was the intervention method of Supervisory Evaluation Period* initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

- If no, skip to question 6.

- If yes, indicate the following:

- 1) Describe in detail the reason(s) why a supervisory evaluation period is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert: Click here to enter text.

- 2) Start date of the supervisory evaluation period and what the review will consist of e.g., review of BWC video, citations, additional ride-alongs: Click here to enter text.

The duration of the supervisory evaluation period: ☐ 30 days ☐ 60 days ☐ 90 days

*Per Office Policy GH-5, Early Identification System, at the end of the supervisory evaluation period, a request will be sent to the supervisor in Blue Team requiring a documented final assessment of the review period.

6. Was the intervention method of Action Plan* initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

- If no, skip to question 7.



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



• If yes, contact TSAU who will develop an action plan that will be separate from the Attachment C (see Policy GH-5). Complete the following after contacting TSAU:

- 1) Describe in detail the reason(s) why an Action Plan is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert: Click here to enter text.
- 2) Describe the work performance goal/expectation(s) of the Action Plan: Click here to enter text.
- 3) Describe the specific tasks that are required of the employee to reach the goal and the deadline for each task: Click here to enter text.
- 4) Describe any additional resources required to reach the goal(s): Click here to enter text.
- 5) Describe how the performance goal will be measured: Click here to enter text.

*Per Office Policy GH-5, Early Identification System, every 14 calendar days until the completion of the action plan, a request will be sent to the supervisor in Blue Team requiring documentation pertaining to the progress or completion of the established action plan.

7. Was the intervention method of Meeting with Commander initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

• If no, skip to question 8.

• If yes, indicate the following:

- 1) Describe in detail the reason(s) why a Meeting with the Commander is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert: Click here to enter text.
- 2) Describe the date, time, location, command level employee involved, and the nature of the meeting with the command level employee: Click here to enter text.



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



8. Was the intervention method of Re-assignment initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

- If no, skip to question 9.

- If yes, indicate the following:

- 1) Describe in detail the reason(s) why a re-assignment is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert: [Click here to enter a date.](#)

- 2) Describe the date, time, and location of the re-assignment:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

9. Was the intervention method of Referral to Professional Standards Bureau initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

- If no, skip to question 10.

- If yes, indicate the following: [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

- 1) Describe in detail the reason(s) why a referral to Professional Standards Bureau is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert: [Click here to enter text.](#)

- 2) Date a Blue Team Internal Complaint entry was generated for the incident(s): [Click here to enter a date.](#)

10. Was the intervention method of Training: Implicit Bias Video(s) Review initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

(This is in addition to the Implicit Bias Video(s) Review that occurred during the Orientation Meeting and cannot be used as a standalone intervention in this Attachment C. If selected the supervisor must document a separate discussion.)

- If no, skip to question 11.



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



• If yes, describe in detail the reason(s) why the review of implicit bias video(s) is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert: [Click here to enter text.](#)

☐—

- 1) Describe the date, time, location, number of videos watched, name of each video, as well as the nature of the discussion in reference to the implicit bias video. The discussion should focus on what was learned and what effect it could have on Law Enforcement decisions: [Click here to enter text.](#)

11. Was the intervention method of Policy Review with Supervisor (CP-8, EB-1, EB-2, and GJ-35) initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

(This is in addition to the Policy Review that occurred during the Orientation Meeting and cannot be used as a standalone intervention in this Attachment C. If selected the supervisor must document a separate discussion.)

• If no, skip to question 12.

• If yes, describe in detail the reason(s) why the policy review is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert: [Click here to enter text.](#)

—

- 1) Describe the date, time, location, policy or policies reviewed, and the nature of the discussion. The discussion should focus on what was learned and what effect it could have on Law Enforcement decisions: [Click here to enter text.](#)

12. Additional notes/comments: (Optional) [Click here to enter text.](#)

Step 6: Was the intervention method of No Further Action initiated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

This option should rarely be selected due to the extensive process involved in generating and vetting the TSMR Alerts. This selection should only be used if the employee no longer works for the Office or if the supervisor is directed by TSAU or PSB to discontinue the alert process, or TSAU determines the Alert should not have been sent out.

• If no, skip to Step 7.



**GH-5, EARLY IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
SUPERVISOR EIS TRAFFIC STOP ALERT FORM
Traffic Stop Monthly Review - Attachment C**



- If yes, describe in detail the reason(s) why No Further Action is an appropriate response to this traffic stop alert: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Step 7: Approximate time spent on review: The time should be inclusive to review of traffic stop videos, review of implicit bias videos, supervisor meetings and discussions (the overall review of this alert).: [Click here to enter the time](#)

Step 8: Attach any supporting documentation not already attached that was reviewed by the supervisor, during the course of the intervention process to the Blue Team TSMR Alert Incident. Do not attach any video's that were reviewed during this process. Identify the video's reviewed in Step 1.

Step 9: Attach this completed document to the TSMR Alert Incident and submit in Blue Team through the chain of command for review/approval.

Supervisor Name & Serial #: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Date Intervention(s) Initiated: [Click here to enter a date.](#)